HB2067 POLPCS1 Preston Stinson-GRS 2/7/2025 3:56:10 pm

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
State of Oklahoma

| S | SPEAKER: | : | | | | | | | |
|---------|----------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|----------|
| (| CHAIR: | | | | | | | | |
| I move | e to ame | end <u>H</u> | B2067 | | | | | | |
| Page | | | Section | | Liı | nes | Of th | ne prin | ted Bill |
| | | | | | | | Of the | Engros | sed Bill |
| | | | ntent of tring langua | the entire age: | measure, | and by | insert | ing in | lieu |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| AMEND 1 | TITLE TO | CONFORI | M TO AMENDME | NTS | | | | | |
| Adopted | d: | | | | Amendment | submit | ted by: | Preston | Stinson |

Reading Clerk

| 1 | STATE OF OKLAHOMA | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | 1st Session of the 60th Legislature (2025) | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | PROPOSED POLICY COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 2067 By: Stinson | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | PROPOSED POLICY COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | An Act relating to criminal procedure; defining terms; making provisions of statute applicable to | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | forensic scientific evidence previously unavailable; allowing district courts to grant relief to convicted | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | persons under certain circumstances; directing courts to consider relevant scientific evidence that has changed; providing liability declaration; providing procedures for filing petitions relating to forensic scientific evidence; providing list of potential eligible applicants; requiring the service of petitions to state attorneys; establishing response and hearing timelines; providing for the dismissal of petitions; directing courts to hold hearings under certain circumstances; providing for the issuance of certain orders; providing for codification; and providing an effective date. | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA: | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | SECTION 1. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1391 of Title 22, unless there | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows: | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | A. As used in this act: | | | | | | | | |

Req. No. 12416 Page 1

24

1. "Favorable" means that there is a reasonable probability that the outcome of the proceeding would have been different;

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 2. "Forensic science" is the application of scientific or technical practices to the recognition, collection, analysis, and interpretation of evidence for criminal and civil law or regulatory issues;
 - 3. "Forensic scientific evidence" shall include:
 - a. scientific or technical knowledge,
 - b. scientific or technical knowledge or opinion by a testifying forensic analyst or expert,
 - c. reports or testimony offered by experts or forensic analysts,
 - d. scientific standards, or
 - e. a scientific method or technique upon which the relevant forensic scientific evidence is based; and
- 4. "Scientific knowledge" shall include the knowledge of the general scientific community and all fields of scientific knowledge on which those fields or disciplines rely.
- B. The provisions of this section applies to relevant forensic scientific evidence that:
- 1. Was not available to be offered by a convicted person at the trial of the convicted person; or
 - 2. Undermines forensic scientific evidence presented at trial.

- C. The district court in which a person was convicted may grant a convicted person relief if:
- 1. The convicted person files an application under the provisions of this section asserting that relevant forensic scientific evidence is currently available and either:

- a. was not ascertainable through the exercise of reasonable diligence by the convicted person before or during trial, or
- b. undermines forensic scientific evidence presented at trial; and
- 2. The court makes the findings described by subdivision (1) of paragraph 1 of this section and finds that, had the evidence been presented at trial, there is a reasonable likelihood there would have been a different outcome at the trial.
- D. In determining whether relevant forensic scientific evidence was not ascertainable through the exercise of reasonable diligence on or before a specific date, the court shall consider whether the relevant scientific evidence has changed since:
- 1. The applicable trial date or dates, or date of entry of a guilty plea or nolo contendere plea, for a determination made with respect to an original application; or
- 2. The date on which an original application or a previously considered application, as applicable, was filed, for a determination made with respect to a subsequent application.

E. The provisions of this section shall not create additional liabilities for an expert who repudiates his or her original opinion provided at a hearing or trial or whose opinion has been undermined by later scientific research or technological advancements.

1.3

- F. A person convicted of a crime who asserts that he or she did not commit that crime may file a petition relating to forensic scientific evidence that was not available at trial or that contradicts forensic scientific evidence presented at trial.

 Eligible applicants shall include any and all of the following:
- Persons currently incarcerated, persons civilly committed,
 persons on parole or probation, or persons subject to sex offender registration;
- 2. Persons convicted on a plea of not guilty, guilty or nolo contendere;
- 3. Persons deemed to have provided a confession or admission related to the crime, either before or after conviction; and
 - 4. Persons who have completed their sentences.
- G. Upon the filing of a motion under the provisions of this act, the petitioner shall serve a copy of such motion upon the attorney for the state. The state shall respond within sixty (60) days of the receipt of service. The court shall hear the motion no sooner than thirty (30) days and no later than ninety (90) days after the filing of the motion.

- H. If the court determines that the new forensic scientific evidence offered by the petitioner is not favorable to the petitioner, the court shall dismiss the petition.
- I. If the new evidence is favorable to the petitioner, the court shall hold a hearing to determine the appropriate relief to be granted and enter any order that serves the interest of justice, including:
- 1. An order setting aside or vacating the judgment of conviction of the petitioner, judgment of not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect, or adjudication of delinquency;
- 2. An order granting the petitioner a new trial or fact-finding hearing;
- 3. An order granting the petitioner a new sentencing hearing, commitment hearing, or dispositional hearing;
 - 4. An order discharging the petitioner from custody; or
- 5. An order granting the petitioner additional discovery on matters related to forensic evidence used to obtain the conviction or sentence under attack including, but not limited to, documents pertaining to the original criminal investigation or the identities of other suspects.
- 21 SECTION 2. This act shall become effective November 1, 2025.

23 60-1-12416 GRS 02/06/25

1.3